From: Carlin, Jayne To: Turvey, Martha

Sent: 6/26/2014 6:25:54 PM

Subject: FW: Reformatted 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

From: Waye, Don

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 3:04 PM

To: Carlin, Jayne

Subject: RE: Reformatted 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

Jayne,

I think it would be nice if the NPS MP update includes a section on how various public stakeholders were/are involved in the shaping of this major policy and planning document, but I don't know if that description needs to be in the MP itself. (It could be in the transmittal letter, for example.) The Region will need to check the box that Oregon has done this. So if the state hasn't done this to the Region's satisfaction, the Region can note it in its comments back to the state. I suppose if Oregon's MP update does not include "significant" program changes, no public involvement is needed. But I suspect this is not the case here. ("Significant" is subjective, of course, but hopefully the Region and the state can agree on whether the program update rises to the level of "significant".)

Don

From: Carlin, Jayne

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 5:02 PM

To: YON Donald R

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; CAMACHO Ivan; KISHIDA Koto; SEEDS Joshua; BROWN Trina; Waye, Don;

<u>allison.castellan@noaa.gov</u>; Henning, Alan; Turvey, Martha; Wu, Jennifer; Rueda, Helen **Subject:** Reformatted 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

Hi Don,

Thanks for answering my questions Don and emailing a clean copy of the revised draft plan. I understand you will not be posting this draft on your website.

During our call, I referenced page 54 (appendix A) of the 319 guidance regarding public involvement on significant proposed program changes (see below). In skimming the draft plan, I did not see any references to public involvement although I may have missed it. I am checking with Don W on whether there are public involvement requirements (beyond what the State itself requires) for updating the Plan itself. Were there any significant changes from the previous plan to this one or just updating?

2. The state strengthens its working partnerships and linkages to appropriate state, interstate, tribal, regional, and local entities (including conservation districts), private sector groups, citizens groups, and federal agencies.

Further, the state seeks *public involvement* from local, regional, state, interstate, tribal and federal agencies, and public interest groups, industries, academic institutions, private landowners and producers, concerned citizens and others as appropriate, *to comment on significant proposed program changes*. This involvement helps ensure that environmental objectives are well integrated with those for economic stability and other social and cultural goals.

I've cc'ed our Oregon CZARA team as I know they are interested at looking at this revised draft version.

Martha will be in touch with you regarding next steps on the NPS.

Cheers,

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit US EPA, Region 10 1200 6th Ave, Suite 900 (OWW-134) Seattle, WA 98101-3140 (206) 553-8512, (206) 553-0165 (fax) carlin.jayne@epa.gov

http://www.epa.gov/r10earth/tmdl.htm

From: YON Donald R [mailto:YON.Donald@deq.state.or.us]

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 1:20 PM **To:** Turvey, Martha; Carlin, Jayne

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; CAMACHO Ivan; KISHIDA Koto; SEEDS Joshua; YON Donald R; BROWN Trina

Subject: Reformatted 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan

Jayne and Martha it was good talking with you today. I needed to do some more reformatting of the 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan which is attached. I checked to see if it includes both internal DEQ staff and state agencies comments/edits. It does. Any questions, please ask. Don

From: YON Donald R

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:40 PM

To: 'Turvey, Martha'

Cc: FOSTER Eugene P; CAMACHO Ivan; KISHIDA Koto; SEEDS Joshua; YON Donald R

Subject: RE: Question and a summary of what we talked about re. the Annual report and the NPS Plan.

Martha, attached is the most recent (5-27-2014) copy of the 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan. We do not place draft copies of documents on our website unless it is going through a DEQ public review. We will post the NPS Plan once it is final, not as draft at http://www.deq.state.or.us/wq/nonpoint/implementation.htm.

I also want to thank you for talking on Friday to get an update on where EPA Region 10 and you are with the approval of both the FINAL DRAFT Oregon Nonpoint Source Pollution Program 2013 Annual Report and the 2014 Final Draft Oregon Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan. Please let me know if there are corrections or any more information you would like to add on the progress of both documents.

For the 2013 Annual Report you said that you set as your major priority the preparation of and sending to Oregon a letter releasing the 319 funds. I see in your most email to Ivan Camacho that the package for the NPS Annual Report has been signed and you wanted to verify that it should be sent to Greg Aldrich. This is in response to the Request for Financial Assistance that Ivan Camacho sent a couple of weeks ago. The letter will also state EPA's approval of the 2013 NPS Annual Report and be similar to Rick Seaborne's previous letters.

Don

 From: Turvey, Martha [mailto:Turvey.Martha@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 4:41 PM

To: YON Donald R **Subject:** Question

Don – I am putting together the materials to mail out the NPS Plan to the tribes and I want to make sure I have the latest version. The last updated version is 3/7/14. If you have a later version please send it to me.

Also do you have an address for where the plan is posted on DEQ's webpage?

Martha

Martha Turvey

TMDL Coordinator Office of Water and Watersheds U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900, OWW-134 Seattle, WA 98101

(206) 553-1354, Fax: (206) 553-0165 email: turvey.martha@epa.gov